

Homework 7: Object Calculus

Due: Tuesday, December 8, 2003.

This homework should be done individually. Its purpose is to have you learn about the untyped first-order object calculus, which is the basis for our formal study of aspect-oriented programs.

For this homework, read chapter 6 and the first two pages of chapter 7 in Abadi and Cardelli's book *A Theory of Objects* (Springer-Verlag, 1996). This was passed out in class. (If you wish, you may omit section 6.6.)

Don't hesitate to contact the staff if you are not clear about what to do.

- (5 points) According to the conventions of the object calculus, are the following two objects the same? (That is, are they in the \equiv relation?)

$$\begin{aligned} & [arg = \zeta(x)x.arg, \quad val = \zeta(y)y.succ] \\ & [val = \zeta(z)z.succ, \quad arg = \zeta(n)n.arg] \end{aligned}$$

- (5 points) According to the conventions of the object calculus, are the following two objects the same? (That is, are they in the \equiv relation?)

$$\begin{aligned} & [arg = \zeta(x)x.arg, \quad val = \zeta(y)y.succ] \\ & [foo = \zeta(x)x.foo, \quad v = \zeta(y)y.succ] \end{aligned}$$

- (2 points) Consider the term $(calculator.enter.arg \Leftarrow \zeta(x)5.0).val.equals$. According to the conventions of the object calculus, which of the following is the correct way this should be parsed?

- $((calculator.enter).arg \Leftarrow \zeta(x)5.0).val.equals$
- $(calculator.(enter.(arg \Leftarrow \zeta(x)5.0).(val.equals)))$

- (15 points) Using the operational semantics on p. 64, give a formal derivation of the following (from p. 72), using Abadi and Cardelli's proof format (see pp. 79-80).

$$\begin{aligned} & (o.arg \Leftarrow \zeta(z)3).val \\ & \text{where } o \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [arg = \zeta(x)x.arg, \quad val = \zeta(x)x.arg] \end{aligned}$$

(Hint, define abbreviations for the objects that appear along the way, instead of writing them into the body of the derivation.)

- (3 points) What term in the λ -calculus is the object o defined in problem 4 a desugaring of? That is, what lambda term desugars to o in problem 4.
- (2 points) Given that o is defined as in problem 4, what term in the λ -calculus is the term $(o.arg \Leftarrow \zeta(z)3).val$ a desugaring of?
- (3 points) Consider the object *calculator* defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} calculator \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} & [arg = \zeta(s)0.0, \\ & acc = \zeta(s)0.0, \\ & enter = \zeta(s)[arg = \zeta(x)x.arg, \quad val = \zeta(x)s.arg \Leftarrow \zeta(z)x.arg], \\ & equals = \zeta(s)s.arg] \end{aligned}$$

Aside from leaving out the *add* and *sub* methods, is this equivalent to (i.e., a correct desugaring of) the object *calculator* that is shown at the top of p. 72 of the Abadi and Cardelli book? (That is, does it properly follow the desugaring rules?) If not, give a correct desugaring of this object.

8. (30 points; extra credit) Using the operational semantics on p. 64, and a corrected definition of the *calculator* object given in problem 7, give a formal derivation of the following (from p. 72), using Abadi and Cardelli's proof format (see pp. 79-80).

$$(calculator.enter.arg \Leftarrow \zeta(x)5.0).val.equals$$

In your derivation, consider 5.0 to be an object (not a selection). (Hint, define abbreviations for the objects that appear along the way, instead of writing them into the body of the derivation.)