# Energy-Delay Tradeoffs **Brent Horine** March 28, 2011

#### Citation

Moo-Ryong Ra, Jeongyeup Paek, Abhishek B. Sharma, Ramesh Govindan, Martin H. Krieger, Michael J. Neely, "Energy-Delay Tradeoffs in Smartphone Applications", In Proc. Of the 8<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services (MobiSys), 2010, pp. 255-270.

#### Motivation

 Reduce energy consumption of uploading videos in Tomography project, while providing a user controllable tradespace w.r.t. delay

\* 5000 videos (as of writing)

<u>http://tomography.usc.edu/</u>

# Applications of Tomography

- \* Surveillance of transportation hub in LA
- Behavior analysis of developmental disabilities in children
- Document construction in post-Katrina Mississippi for improving zoning regs and ordinances

#### Example Scenario



# Strategies

Link Selection Problem
Minimum Delay (5X energy consumption)
Always use WiFi (2X)
Energy Optimal (1X)

#### **Problem Formulation**

- \* Link Selection Problem solved with a Lyapunov optimization framework
- Minimizes total energy expenditure subject to keeping the average queue length finite
- Control Algorithm: SALSA (Stable and Adaptive Link Selection Algorithm)

#### Implementation Details

- Adjust parameter V in Lyapunov control to tune the energy-delay tradeoff
- Derive link rate estimates empirically from RSSI measurements, then learn with use
- Simulated and experimental traces indicate an energy savings (in terms of battery charge life) of 10-40%

#### Generic Results and Benefits

- Using Lyapunov optimization techniques, they get arbitrarily close to a target Power consumption, while maintaining queue stability
- Cost of reduced power consumption is a larger delay
- \* Average queue backlog grows linearly in V
- Does not require prior knowledge of distributions of A[t] and SI[t], except that variances are finite

#### Time Average Power Consumption and Queue Backlog



 $\varepsilon$  > 0 constant describing distance between arrival pattern and the capacity region boundary  $P^*$  = theoretical lower bound on time average power consumption B = upper bound on the sum of variance of A[t] and  $\mu$  [t]

#### V Parameter Control

- \* Although V controls the tradeoff, it is not a simple relationship
- \* Let  $\alpha$  be slope of time averaged power consumption

$$\frac{d(P^* + B/V)}{dV} = \frac{-B}{V^2} = -\alpha$$
$$\Rightarrow V = \sqrt{\frac{B}{\alpha}}$$

## Expressing V in User Units

$$V[t] = \sqrt{\frac{B[t]}{\alpha (D[t]+1)^{\alpha}}}$$

D[t] = instantaneous delay in data transfer (time that the bit at the head of the queue has been resident in queue) B is now time varying  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  for energy efficiency (V[t] decreases slowly)  $\alpha$  large for quick transfers (V[t] decreases rapidly)

# Assumed Input Data Patterns



# Link Availability w/Failure Probability (CDF)



#### Simulation Results





#### SALSA Energy Savings and Delay Penalty





# **Dispersion versus Alpha**

#### Dispersion describes distance from "ideal"



#### Simulation Results



Figure 10: STATIC-DELAY VS KNOW-WIFI VS SALSA

# Scan Interval Comparisons





#### **Experimental Results**



Figure 16: Experimental result at Shopping Mall compared to simulation results

#### Significance of Work

 A user controllable framework with a large tradespace between latency of video upload and battery life

#### Potential Improvements

- Explore a larger tradespace using the simulator rather than relying upon empirical traces
- Incorporate learning to predict when a repetitive user might arrive near a quality WiFi AP
- \* As the authors suggest, continue to work on relating  $\alpha$  to user units
- Could use location rate info to guess if user is likely to move into a superior region soon